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Abstract
Before the advent of British colonial rule, Islamic law in its total ramifications applied in the territories, which later on became Nigeria after colonization. The Islamic law was the system through which Islamic civil and criminal laws were applied by the Alkali courts. The British introduced the English law, which however did not completely abrogate the existing and dominant Islamic legal system under the Sokoto Caliphate but subjected it to some limitations by confining the application of the Shari'ah and jurisdictions of Shari'ah Courts to personal status. The Commander of the faithful Amirul Mumineen or Emir had to wait for the approval of the Resident or District Commissioner in the appointment of Shari'ah judges and the latter was empowered to supervise the judges and review or transfer their cases. This paper therefore tries to examine the position of Shari'ah Courts in Nigeria before and under the British colonialism. It also analyzes the history and prospectus of Shari'ah Courts as well as challenges presently facing the Shari'ah courts in Nigeria. The paper concludes with some suggestions as a way out of the nightmare. The methodology used for this study included analytical, critical and historical approaches, while library sources were extensively utilized as a tool of sourcing for information.

Introduction
The history of Shari'ah, Islamic legal system in Nigeria is as old as the history of Islam in the country. Shari'ah is part of Islam. It is inseparable from it. Wherever Islam spreads, it goes along with its legal system. Islamic law in its total ramifications applied in what became northern Nigeria before the advent of the British who tried to scrap it completely but failure to achieve this target led to its reduction from full fledged legal system to ordinary law of personal matters called “Islamic personal law” while the criminal aspect of the legal system was totally expunged and replaced by the penal code. Muhammad Mustafa Ali Khan (1988, 181) has captured the situation thus:

Nigerian Muslims were totally governed by Islamic law until Nigeria became a British colony. The advent of colonial rule saw the gradual
phasing out of Islamic law. The present truncated form of Islamic law applicable in Nigeria is the product of the colonial tyranny. Fortunately, Nigeria saw the end of this tyranny and got rid of this Yoke. But the approach to legal education adopted by colonial masters, is still continuing after more than two decades of independence. It is sheer indifference on the part of Muslims of this great nation and an irony that, they have not seriously started to agitate against this situation. In conformity with their socio legal aspiration, they should press for gradual enlargement of the scope and extent of the application of Islamic law to them.

It was Zamfara state’s implementation of the Shari‘ah criminal code that has triggered a reform movement all over the Muslim states\(^1\) of the country. The former Zamfara State Governor Ahmed Sanni Yerima after announcing its adoption of Islamic law as the basic source of law in the State in 1999,\(^3\) took further initiative by creating Shari‘ah Courts in place of the old Area Courts. The jurisdiction of the Shari‘ah Courts of the states was extended to cover all aspects of the Islamic civil and criminal causes amid criticism, blackmail and propaganda by the neo-colonial antagonists of Shari‘ah. The Shari‘ah to these people is a threat to the legal system of post-colonial Nigeria, which is based on the English system with the High Court as the superior court of original jurisdiction and Supreme Court as the final appellate court. The paper therefore reveals the position of Shari‘ah Courts before and under the British colonialism as well as challenges presently facing the Shari‘ah courts in Nigeria. The paper concludes with some suggestions and recommendations as a way out of the problems.

**Perspectives on Shari‘ah Courts**

Shari‘ah is an Arabic word, which, literally means:

a. The straight path to be followed as Almighty Allah puts it in the Holy Quran, He says:

Then we put thee on the (right) way of religion so follow Thou that (way) And follow not the desires of those who know not (Q45: 18)

b. The way leading to watering place or spring where drinking water is fetched, and technically, it means the path that Almighty Allah in His wisdom established and ordained as the only path through which man can be rightly guided towards Him. Therefore, the Shari‘ah to a Muslim represents his entire life, symbolizes his existence and gives him his
identity⁴. The Shari‘ah shapes his entire personality and character. Shari‘ah consists of two main divisions. The first division known as Ibadaat (acts of worship) deals with a Muslim’s relationship with his creator and regulates the matters of unity of Allah (Tauhid), prayers (Salat), fasting (sawm), pilgrimage (Hajj) and payment of due tax to the needy (Zakat). The second division known as muamalat (human acts) is further divided into rites and transactions to regulate acts between persons such as marriage, contracts, and acts dealing with property as in sales and rents.⁵ Man’s various societal systems like legal (both civil and criminal), political and economic systems will find a place in this latter division.

 Muslims therefore have no other option than to strive for the implementation of that path, ordained for them by Allah through absolute obedience to His messenger Muhammad (SAW). Allah clearly declared in the Quran as follows:

It is not fitting for a believer, man or woman when Allah and His Messenger have decided a matter, to have any option about their decision: if any one disobeys Allah and His Messenger, he is indeed on a clearly wrong path. (Q33:36.)

In view of the above verse, it is clear how relevant the Shari‘ah legal system is to Muslims. To be a Muslim one must totally and completely apply the Shari‘ah to his daily and life activities Allah says in the Quran.

O you who believe! Enter into Islam Whole-heartedly; and follow not the footsteps of Satan for he is to you an avowed enemy. (Q2:208)

In Nigeria today especially the Northern parts, the Courts with jurisdiction in Islamic law matters in terms of hierarchy are Area Courts and Shari‘ah Courts of Appeal. Since the introduction of English legal system in Nigeria in 1876⁶, it has been operating side by side with Islamic law. The Islamic law however regarded as the native’s law and custom is predominantly applied in the north, under the Native Courts Ordinance (NCO) OF 1918 ⁷. These Native Courts later became Area Courts when states were created. For the purpose of the Area Courts, sections 2 and 4 of the Area Court Law provide inter alia:

**Section 2:** (1) In this Edict, unless the context otherwise requires:

‘Area court’ means a Court established under or in pursuance of this Edict or deemed to have been so established and shall include an Upper Area Court.

**Section 4:** (1) An area court shall consist of the following member or members:

(a) An area judge sitting alone, or

(b) An area judge sitting with one or more members
The upper area court shall consist of three judges, any two of whom sitting together to hear and determine appeals shall form a quorum.

(2) All questions of Moslem Personal Law shall be heard and determined by any Member of an area court learned in Moslem Law.

The *Shari'ah* Court of Appeal is one of the constitutionally created courts having its jurisdiction well rooted in the Nigeria constitution. Its constitutional jurisdiction, mainly on Islamic person status, is additional to whatever jurisdiction that may be conferred on it by the law of the state where it is established. It was enacted as an appellate Court to hear and determine all appeals involving Muslim or Islamic Personal law matters from the Area Courts. The *Shari'ah* Court of Appeal is established by Section 275 of the 1999 Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria (CFRN) for any state that requires it but mandatory in the Federal Capital Territory.

Section 262 of the 1999 CFRN provides that the *Shari'ah* Court of Appeal shall exercise both appellate and supervisory jurisdiction in civil proceedings involving questions of Islamic personal law, which the Court is competent to decide in accordance with the Constitution. The Court comprises of a Grand Kadi and other Kadis as the National Assembly or the State Houses of Assembly (as the case may be) may prescribe.

Section 54 of the Area Court Edict vests the power of appeal in cases involving questions of Muslim personal law in the *Shari'ah* Court of Appeal. The High Court on the other hand has jurisdiction to hear all cases of Islamic civil causes and criminal matters inclusive yet whenever a matter before the High Court is Islamic, the question often arises on what law is to be applied. No doubt, the High Court is empowered to apply principles of Islamic law treating it as a variety of native law and custom. This Islamic cases of appellate jurisdictions awarded to High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court which are not grounded in Islamic law are in my own observation, deliberate attempt to put the Islamic legal system in limbo in the country.

**Shari'ah Courts in Nigeria before Colonization**

According to history, Maliki School of Islamic law had been operating in northern Nigeria for over one hundred years before the advent of the colonial rulers. The pioneer Islamic empire in the northern Nigeria was Kanem Borno when the 12th king of the empire Umm Jilmi Bin Sulaiman embraced Islam and consequently declared his entire empire as Islamic state with Arabic language as the medium of communication. On the other hand at the commencement of Shehu Usman danfodio’s Islamic reformation from 1804 to the
beginning of the colonial era in Hausa land, *Sharī'ah* continued growing in full fledged strength throughout the length and breadth of the Northern part of Nigeria without omission. The followers of Danfodio in the *jihad* became the leaders of the principal towns like Kebbi, Gobir, Zamfara, Sokoto, Kastina, Zazzau (Zaria), Nupe, Ilorin, Kano, Gwandu, Adamawa and Bauchi. These towns patterned their administration along the Islamic legal system, and fully established Islamic system of government, under which *Sharī'ah* was the legal codes in practice at the Emirs’ Palaces and Alkali courts, with unlimited jurisdictions. In exercising power over the entire citizenry guided by the dictates of the Qur’an and the Sunnah of the Prophet Muhammad (SAW), The system through which Alkali courts applied Islamic civil and criminal laws was kept intact by the colonial power but subject to some limitations on courts’ powers of imposing punishment and to function under supervision and control of colonial administrative officers and later on by new courts established by the British.

According to Islam, the head of Islamic community must be an Imam, Caliph or Emir. His other assistants as laid down comprised the following

i. *Waziri*: advisor to the caliph.

ii. *Kadi*: judge who must be versed in *Sharī'ah* and other aspects of Islamic knowledge.


All these were established in Hausa land; to the extent that the Sokoto caliphate was claimed to be the next in rank after Saudi Arabia and Afghanistan. In addition it was also recorded that by 1840 *Islam* had been firmly established in Badagry, Igbokiri, Ikoyi, Iseyin, Ketu, Eko and Oyo; and by the time of colonial era between 1860-1894 the *Sharī'ah* had been established in Iwo by Oba Momodu Lamuye who was enthroned in 1860; *Sharī'ah* was the law applied in his domain and the administration of the town was done in line with Islam till he died in 1906. Similarly, Oba Oyewole of Ikirun established *Sharī'ah* court in his domain which was presided over by one Bako from Ilorin as *kadi*. There was also *Sharī'ah* court in Ede established by Oba Habeeb Olagunju in an area called Agbeni it was removed in 1914 to another area called Agbogbon and the first *kadi* was Sindiku. In Epe *Sharī'ah* was operational and ranked second to Ilorin in terms of Islam and its knowledge, and Maliki Fiqh was the School followed by the people.

It was also mentioned that the Lagos Muslim community demanded for *Sharī'ah* as early as 1894 and the agitation for *Sharī'ah* in Yoruba continued for more than one hundred years. In 1938, Ibadan Muslim community asked for it and was denied the application for *Sharī'ah*. Similarly, the Muslim congress of Nigeria Ijebu Ode requested for the *Sharī'ah* with no result. According to other historians, they pointed out that the Yoruba Muslims
merely practiced Islam as a religion and not as a way of life. It is a fact that Islam got to Yoruba land and flourished well, ever before the advent of colonial administration, but it remained a private religion. Islamic law is practiced in matters like, nikkah (marriage) talaq, (divorce) and meerath (succession) but in a purely individual and private capacity. It has been observed that the impact of jihad and its effect of establishing Muslim empires in the north for a period of one century accounted for why the Shari‘ah succeeded in displacing the Islamic law among the Muslims irrespective of their tribes. It is equally arguable that the absence of jihad or its effect accounted for the low level of the practice of Shari‘ah among the Muslims in the southwest compared to the north.

**Shari‘ah Courts in Colonial Era**

On arrival of the British as we earlier mentioned they met the Islamic legal system already established. In accordance with their indirect rule policy, they allowed the institution of state and machinery of government including the judicial system, which were in existence especially in the northern part of Nigeria to continue functioning within prescribed limits and subject to certain conditions. They contented themselves with the power of supervision, regulation and control of the native courts.

The main provisions of interest in relation to judicial matters are as follows:

1. The Resident was empowered to establish in his province by warrant under his hand such native courts as he deemed fit.
2. The courts were to administer native law and customs prevailing in the area of jurisdiction of each court in both civil and criminal matters. In criminal matters, they might award any punishment, and in civil matters, they might make any order that native law and custom authorized- subject to the condition that no inhuman treatment could be inflicted. In addition, they could not inflict the penalty of death.
3. The Emir or Chief was to appoint the judges, subject to the Resident’s approval. Where there was no emir or chief, the resident appointed the judges.
4. The resident had the power to enter the courts at any time and to inspect the records. He could transfer a case from one court to another, he could review the findings of a court and order a retrial or modify the sentence (or the order) of the courts.
5. The practice and procedure of the courts were to be governed by native law and custom subject to rules that might be made by the High Commissioner.
From the above provisions, the intention of the British despot to reduce the power of Shari‘ah Courts is very clear and observation of Kumo according to Ibrahim Ado Kurawa is therefore correct when he suggested that:

As far as the Shari‘ah was concerned, the provision introduced essentially fundamental changes. First, the fact that the courts were established by the British officers who also had the power of control and supervision, and secondly, the ouster of the application of hadd punishments for zina and burglary.  

In line with the British indirect rule policy, the Emir or Chief was given some powers by the proclamation in which the British encouraged Emirs and Chiefs to use Siyasa (politics) in legal judgment so that Shari‘ah may be completely abrogated or undermined. However, some of the Emirs to their eternal credit refused to be cowed by the British. Sarkin Kano Abbas was particularly conscious of the Islamic obligation of his heritage. He refused to succumb to the overture of using politics to get rid of Islamic law as planned by the British.

The native courts had no jurisdiction over non-native cantonment areas (i.e. areas reserved by the British for Government offices and quarters). They also had no jurisdiction over non-native or those natives who were in the Government’s service, and they had no jurisdiction to try statutory offences or to hear civil cases governed by English law. To deal with all these matters there were established English courts, which were in no way connected with the native courts. The native courts only apply Islamic law in all civil matters and causes where Muslims are predominant. The law says:

Subject to provisions of this law and in particular its section 24, a Native Court shall in civil cases and matters administer:

The native law and custom prevailing in the area of the jurisdiction of the court or binding between the parties, so far as it is not repugnant to natural justice, equity and good conscience nor incompatible either directly or by necessary implication with any written law for the time being in force.

After the amalgamation of Northern and Southern protectorates of Nigeria the British despots graded the native courts into four according to the powers they were allowed to exercise, namely: Grade A, B, C and D Courts. Grade A Courts were given unlimited jurisdiction in both civil and criminal cases. The lowest court was the Grade D courts whose jurisdiction was limited to imprisonment of up to three months or fine of not more than ten
pounds and in the civil matters of contracts and torts, where the subject matter of the litigation did not exceed 22 pounds. This ordinance also named the courts presided over by *Alikali* as “*Alikali court*” thus distinguishing them from other native courts. Islamic law ceased to govern criminal matters when the Native Courts Law came into effect in 1957 and later was replaced by the penal Code Law of Northern Nigeria which says:

In criminal cases, a native court shall administer the provisions of:

a) The penal code Law, the Criminal Procedure Code Law, and any subsidiary legislation made there under,

b) Any written law, which the court may be authorized to enforce by any order made under Section 27;

c) All rules and orders made under the Native Authority Law or under any or superseded that Law and all rules, orders and by-laws made by legislation repealed a native authority under any other written law in the area of the jurisdiction of the court.

By 1954, after fifty years of colonial rule in Northern Nigeria, a new constitution was promulgated under which High court was established for each of the regions. The regional legislative councils were empowered by the new constitution to establish or reform native/customary courts. Thus the Northern legislative council in 1956 passed the native courts law and the Muslim Court of Appeal which was later renamed as *Shari'ah* Court of Appeal in 1960. Appeals from Native Courts (now Area Courts) only on Civil matters particularly on family law go to *Shari'ah* Court of Appeal while appeals on civil matters other than family law cases and criminal matters go to High Court for determination.

**Challenges facing *shari'ah* courts in Nigeria**

This section focuses on the challenges facing *Shari'ah* Courts in Nigeria. The challenges are multi faceted, but we have in this section identified the sources of the challenges as four; these are vividly discussed as follows:

**I. Categorization of Islamic Law as Customary Law**

One of the legacies of the colonial rule is that Islamic law is tagged as a customary law and thereby treats Islamic Law as a species of native law and custom. Consequently, no separate Provision was made for the application of Islamic Law. In the courts where the bulk of Islamic Law is applied, namely the Area Courts and the High Courts of the Northern States, it is still regarded as such and it is governed by the same rules, which provide for the
application of customary Law. There are many theoretical distinctions between Islamic Law and Customary Law. Aside the statutory provision, Islamic law has nothing in common with customary law 34. The Customary law has been described as body of law that evolved from the custom of the native people, while the Sharī'ah is a complete system of universal law and more universal than manmade Law 35.

II. Colonial Mentality

According to Ibrahim Adokurawa, Ibn Khaldun was reported to have said that: “the vanquished will always want to imitate the victor in his distinctive characteristics, his dress, his occupation and all his other conditions and customs” 36. The reason for this is that the soul always sees the perfection in the person who is superior to it, it considers him perfect because it is impressed by respect it reserves for him.

Thus, the white man in the perception of the colonized people was a sort of perfect man who must be emulated at all cost, for he represents the peak of civilization. This is the main reason the colonized people; most of the time totally neglects their own religious and tribal civilization, in spite of the fact that the adventure of the white man on the African continent has been that of exploitation and victimization. This mentality has had adverse effect on the application of the Islamic law in Nigeria. The most painful aspect is that some nominal Muslims, for their love for English law and European way of life had been westernized and found amongst the most prominent in the war against the implementation of Islamic law on the ground that the punishments are too severe, without considering all the advantages contained therein.

The fact remains that Sharī'ah is the life of Islam itself. Therefore, any Muslim society in which the Sharī'ah is not applied in its totality cannot be said to be truly Islamic even though all its members might claim to be Muslims. The test of the Islamicity of any society is the extent of its application of the Sharī'ah. No amount of salat, zakat, saum or Hajj alone can make a society truly Islamic, if the Sharī'ah is not applied as a comprehensive legal system. This is because to submit to a law other than that prescribed by Allah is to submit to another god beside Allah, which amounts to rejection of Islam. The Qur`an in three different verses calls those who reject Sharī'ah ‘unbeliever’, ‘wrong-doer’ and ‘rebel’ against the authority of Allah. It says:

Those who judge not by the law revealed by Allah are unbelievers.

(Q 5:47).
It also adds:

Those who refuse to judge with the law which revealed by Allah
Are wrongdoers. (Q 5:48).

The Qur’an concludes that:

Those who judge not by the law revealed by Allah are rebels.
(Q 5:50).

III. Position of Shari‘ah in the Nigerian Constitution

The position of Shari‘ah in the Nigerian constitution can be summarized as follows:

a. It is recognized as one of the laws of Nigeria the others being the English law and customary law.

b. It is reduced to the narrow confines of personal status. This can be seen from the jurisdictions of the Shari‘ah courts. According to the constitution, the Shari‘ah courts shall be competent to decide any question of Islamic personal law regarding marriage, divorce, endowment, gift, will and child custody and any other question to which the parties consent. Thus, all the other aspects of the Shari‘ah have no relevance as far as those who drafted and those who ratified the constitution were concerned.

By confining the application of the Shari‘ah to personal status, the constitution overlooks the nature of the Shari‘ah itself as well as the position of the Shari‘ah in the life of the Muslims.

The Shari‘ah legal system relates to every aspect of human life be it religious, legal, moral, personal, social, economic, political, educational and national or international relationships. In other words, the Shari‘ah is the totality of Allah’s commandments and directives which included all Ahakam (judgments) that are contained in the Quran; Muslims must totally observe and practice the Shari‘ah otherwise, they should be held responsible for defiance towards the divine laws of Allah (S.W.T.). The Quran says: “Do you believe in some part of the book and disbelieve in the other part?” (Q2:85)

Restricting the jurisdictions of the Shari‘ah courts to civil matters means denying Muslims their fundamental human rights in order to make the life of Muslims of this country more meaningful to them religious-wise; The jurisdiction of Shari‘ah courts in our constitution needs to be expanded to cover all aspects of the Muslim life.
There is no gainsaying that Islamic law is a system that can control crimes and brings a greater degree of peace and security to citizen than any other Law. *Sharî'ah* law focuses on the individual as well as the good of the community. Islam pursues its social objectives through reforming the individual in the first place. The individual is thus seen as a morally autonomous agent who plays a distinctive role in shaping the community’s sense of direction and purpose. *Sharî'ah* law has a role for individual rights, but those individual rights are exercised within a system that is primarily concerned with human relations.\(^{38}\) Saudi Arabia, which applies the *Sharî'ah* intoto, has the lowest rate of recorded crime in the world that indicates that criminal law of Islam aims at creating peaceful society where the people will live in security.\(^{39}\)

IV. **Unqualified judges**

The appointment of Judges who are also unlettered in Islamic Law and they are empowered to administer Islamic civil causes is another challenge that confronting *Sharî'ah* Court in our Country. On the competence of the High Court Judges- for instance- to handle Islamic civil causes, he/she only needs to qualify to practice as a legal practitioner in Nigeria (being called to the Nigerian Bar) and must have been so qualified for a period not less than 10 years. With this qualification, he is presumed to be versatile in the knowledge of the law on which he is to adjudicate. Obviously, the above does not cover the knowledge of Islamic law required of a Judge to adjudicate on Islamic civil causes. The jurist posited in their books regarding a Judge of Islamic law thus:

> It is stipulated that for a man to be appointed a judge, he should be a Muslim, free person, male and matured person. He should possess the capacities to hear and see He should be literate, conscious and should be capable to make independent research and interpretation of the Quran and the Sunnah or at least possess the capacity to interpret what an exponent of Islamic law has interpreted based on the Quran and Sunnah.\(^{40}\)

The implication of the foregoing requirements is that we now have Judges to administer Islamic civil causes who are not just non-Muslims but who cannot also identify any alphabet of the law they apply. What can be more repugnant to natural justice, equity and good conscience?\(^{41}\) The appearance of unlettered legal practitioners in Islamic law in the *Sharî'ah* courts also has its adverse effect and attendant challenges. The most serious problem is the assumption of those lawyers that English legal system is the standard after which other
legal systems have to follow. Their appearance can only be meaningful and fruitful if they take the pains to be conversant with the knowledge of Islamic law.

V. Validity Test

It was noted earlier that colonialists abrogated the capital punishment hudud provision of the Shari’ah such as those on adultery and theft and they introduced ‘validity test’ which directs the native courts to observe and enforce the observance of every rule of Islamic law which is not repugnant to natural justice equity, and good conscience. Moreover, they deliberately brought in review of the capital punishments in Islamic law in order to weaken or reduce its potency. Few examples of such reviews are summarized below:

i. Retribution was replaced by hanging contrary to the Qur’anic or Islamic provision as contained in Glorious Qur’ an thus:

O you who believed, prescribed for you is legal retribution for those murdered the free for the free, the slave for the slave, and the female, but whoever overlooks from his brother (i.e. the killer anything, then there should be a suitable follow-up and payment to him (i.e. the deceased’s heir or legal representative) with good conduct. This is alleviation from your lord and a mercy. However, whoever transgresses after that will have a painful punishment. In addition, there is for you in legal retribution (saving of) life, o you (people) of understanding, that you may become righteous. (Q 2: 178-9).

Still on the issue of retribution and on the authority of Bukhari and Muslim, The Holy prophet was reported to have decreed during his lifetime that a Jew be killed by having his head smashed between two heavy stones because he killed a woman in the same manner.

ii. Stoning Adulterer (s) and Adulteress to death was also replaced by jail terms, a punishment which Islamic law does not compromise because it was reported that the Holy Prophet said that “stoning adulterer (s) and adulteress to death is a law in divine revelation in as much as strong evidences are established either through pregnancy or true confession”. Without doubt, the Holy prophet was to have established this act, by stoning Maaizan and a woman of Ghamid’yya. In addition, the rightly guided Caliphs according to Islamic history established the same.

iii. Amputation: Almighty Allah revealed and decreed in His divine book thus:
(As for) the thief, the male and female, amputate their hand in recompose for what they earned (i.e. committed) as a deterrent (punishment) for Allah. Moreover, Allah is exalted in Might and Wise. Nevertheless, whosoever repents after his wrongdoing and reforms, indeed, Allah will turn to him in forgiveness. Indeed Allah is forgiving and merciful. (5: 38).

As found in the practice of the Holy Prophet (SAW) and accepted by the consensus of Islamic scholars that whosoever steals anything worth and above a quarter of dinar or three dirham should have one of his/her arms amputated. Notable among those whose arms were amputated was Khiyar Ibn Addi and Marrat Ibn Sufiyan during the time of the Holy Prophet (SAW). The colonial masters, have this act replaced with jail terms.

Furthermore, there are many cases in which the Sharī'ah provisions applied were found to be repugnant to natural justice as interpreted by the British colonialists. One of such was that of M. Abba vs. Mary T. Baikic. In that case the Kano chief Alkali’s court ruled that Mary who was a Christian could not inherit her father who was a Muslim because Islamic law of inheritance prohibits a Muslim from inheriting non-Muslim and vice-versa. One of the provisions of this law is the injunction based on the Hadith of the Prophet (SAW) which was reported to have said, “Neither does a Muslim inherit a non-Muslim nor does a non-believer inherits a Muslim”. Mary appealed to the Supreme Court, which ruled that the provision of Islamic law of inheritance that barred her from inheriting “is repugnant to natural Justice, equity and good conscience”. Does it mean that the Sharī'ah court that ruled in the first instance was ignorant of Islamic law of inheritance?

The Supreme Court’s ruling had nothing to do with logic or universal sense of justice, the purpose of the judgment was to present Sharī'ah in bad light, since the court’s objection was to fulfill the colonial objective of promoting western civilization. Had the Islamic law provision been that a Muslim could inherit a non-Muslim but the later cannot inherit the former then the court may be justified in declaring the law as repugnant to its notion of natural justice and equity. It is clear that the intention of the English law courts was the promotion of western civilization as against Islamic civilization. For example, if there was any conflict between customary law and English law, they promoted the customary law but in a similar case if, there was conflict between Islamic law and English law they would promote English law. An apt instance is the case of Dawudu Vs Danmole (1962) in which the deceased person was survived by nine male and four female children and the estate was shared according to Yoruba custom of maternal affiliation of the heirs known as (idi-igi).
Dawud went up for highest appeal to challenge this ruling but he was not successful. All the courts decided that since that was their custom it has to be complied with, in other words it was considered not repugnant to natural justice and equity.

**Conclusion**

From the foregoing, it may be concluded that the position of Shari'ah and Shari'ah court in Nigeria, has not been favorable. The colonial rulers pursued a policy, which aimed at curtailing the application of Islamic law. The legal system they set up and institutions they established were governed by rules, which were designed to achieve their objective. The legislatures of the country and the courts ensured that English law was promoted at the expense of Islamic law.

The following suggestions should be examined in order to improve the status of Shari'ah and the Shari'ah courts in Nigeria.

1. **Shari'ah** should be given its proper place in the Nigerian legal system: it should function as a complete legal system. Shari'ah deserves that treatment not simply because it belongs to Muslims but because it is a system that elevates and purifies human conduct, brings peace and harmony among the people and gives unity to human life.

2. **Shari'ah** courts should be given full jurisdictions in both civil and criminal matters. Its position should be elevated to the level of Federal Appeal Court and Supreme Court of Federation.

3. All the Universities that have Common Law Departments must establish Islamic Law Department too, so that prospective students wishing to read law can decide to read common law, Islamic law or combined law.

4. Considering the major challenges, as that of unqualified judges the Judiciary must be reformed: The Islamic criteria of evaluation of people to be appointed to the bench must be revived, because the success of Shari'ah is solidly based on a thorough understanding of the Islamic Law and an ability to make decisions within the framework of the Federal constitution.
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